NEW JERSEY MILITIA NEWSLETTER

Volume XI, Issue No. 4 October 2005

All persons are by nature free and independent, and have certain natural and unalienable rights, among which are those of enjoying and defending life and liberty, of acquiring, possessing, and protecting property, and of pursuing and obtaining safety and happiness.
-- Article 1, Section 1, New Jersey State Constitution

Avian Flu and Martial Law

By Paul Joseph Watson & Alex Jones

Congressman Ron Paul (R-TX) has accused the Bush administration of attempting to set in motion a police state by enacting gun confiscation martial law provisions in the event of an avian flu pandemic.

Paul stated, "If we don't change our ways we will go the way of Rome and I see that as rather sad.....the worst things happen when you get the so-called Republican conservatives in charge from Nixon on down, big government flourishes under Republicans."

"It's really hard to believe it's happening right in front of us. Whether it's the torture or the process of denying habeas corpus to an American citizen….

"I think the arrogance of power that they have where they themselves are like Communists....in the sense that they decide what is right. The Communist Party said that they decided what was right or wrong, it wasn't a higher source."

Paul responded to President Bush's announcement that he would use military assets to police America in the event of an avian flu outbreak.

"To me it's so strange that the President can make these proposals and it's even plausible. When he talks about martial law dealing with some epidemic that might come later on and having forced quarantines, doing away with Posse Comitatus in order to deal with natural disasters, and hardly anybody says anything. People must be scared to death."

Paul, himself a medical doctor, agreed that the bird flu threat was empty fearmongering.

"I believe it is the President hyping this and [Defense Secretary] Rumsfeld, but it has to be in combination with the people being fearful enough that they will accept the man on the white horse. My first reaction going from my political and medical background is that it's way overly hyped and to think that they have gone this far with it, without a single case in the whole country and they're willing to change the law and turn it into a military state? That is unbelievable. They're determined to have martial law."

Paul opined that the martial law provisions now being promoted by the Bush administration were a direct response to people's unwillingness to relinquish their firearms, as was seen in New Orleans after Hurricane Katrina.

"I think they're concerned about the remnant, the remnant of those individuals who don't buy into stuff and think that they should take care of themselves on their own, that they should have their own guns and their own provisions and they don't want to depend on the government at all and I think that is a threat to those who want to hold power. They don't want any resistance to their authoritarian rule."

Paul expressed his hope that finally some conservatives are waking up to the fact that the Bush administration is a Trojan horse, especially after arch-liberal Harriet Miers was chosen by Bush to supposedly move the Supreme Court to the right, even though her record is atrocious and she has been involved in the past covering up for the Bush crime family's activities.

-- Infowars Network | October 12 2005

FEMA, Sheriff Seek Firearms Ban

BATON ROUGE, La. (AP) - Amid complaints from gun-rights groups, FEMA spokesman Butch Kinerney said it is reconsidering a ban on firearms at emergency housing parks built in the wake of Hurricane Katrina.

The dispute arose at a nearly 600-trailer encampment near Baton Rouge. Katrina evacuees will be allowed to stay there rent-free while they try to find permanent housing.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency said it has been general policy at FEMA for several years to prohibit guns at such parks anywhere in the country.

But the National Rifle Association threatened to sue, and another gun rights group, the Second Amendment Foundation, said it, too, was looking at legal action.

"Whether it's a national disaster, whether it's by nature like Katrina, or a flu pandemic or an earthquake, the Constitution can't be thrown out the window," said NRA leader Wayne LaPierre.

The East Baton Rouge Parish Sheriff's Office had asked that guns be banned at the encampment because the trailers are close together and have thin walls, according to spokesman Deputy Fred Raiford.

"If a gun was discharged in any of those trailers, it probably would go through three or four other trailers before it stopped," Raiford said.

But FEMA spokesman James McIntyre said guns would have been prohibited even without the Sheriff's Office request.

-- AP, Oct. 12, 2005

An Unholy Alliance

By Martin McKinney. *The Financial Reporter* (U.K.), October 3, 2005

Washington - The American-based internet giant, AOL, wholly-owned by Time-Warner, has formed a Working Partnership with the U.S. Department of Homeland Security to permit unlimited surveillance of the millions of AOL online members, according to a report from the U.S. Department of Commerce.

AOL works closely with the Department of Homeland Security to supply information on any AOL customer and allows agents from these entities 'free and unfettered' access to AOL Hq at Dulles, VA for the purpose of `watching over and keeping surveillance on the millions of AOL customers,' according to the report.

The legal basis for this is the recently Congress-approved Patriot Act which permits warrantless searches of persons and property. While information gleaned from delving into personal computer messages is supposed to be kept confidential, it appears that the Department of Homeland Security has exceeded their brief and obtained what appears to be strictly personal information which is then circulated to entities outside the Department of Homeland Security.

The Department of Commerce report also states that news of this surveillance has leaked out and is causing serious concern in the American, and European, business communities who are fearful that trade secrets may be given to other business entities, considered as "friendly" to the Bush Administration.

* * *

ALBANY, N.Y. - America Online Inc., the world's largest Internet service provider, will pay $1.25 million in penalties and costs and reform some of its customer service practices to settle an investigation by Attorney General Eliot Spitzer's office.

Around 300 consumers had filed complaints with Spitzer's office accusing AOL, a wholly owned subsidiary of Time Warner Inc., of ignoring demands to cancel service and stop billing.

The company, with 21 million subscribers nationally, rewarded employees who were able to retain subscribers who called to cancel their Internet service.

For years, AOL had minimum retention or "save" percentages customer service personnel were expected to meet, investigators said.

The employees could earn tens of thousands of dollars in bonuses if they were able to dissuade half of their callers from ending service.

That led many employees to make it difficult for consumers to cancel service or simply ignore such requests, Spitzer spokesman Brad Maione said.

Imitating the British?

By Charlie Reese

What I fear most for the future of our country is that our leadership seems to be developing the same arrogance that doomed the British Empire.

In early 1941, the British general in charge of the Far East scoffed at the idea that Japan would attack. The Japanese wouldn't dare, he said, because they were a subhuman, inferior race. That same year, Winston Churchill likewise said that the Japanese would not dare attack the British Empire. The Japanese were, he said, the "wops of the East," thus insulting two peoples in one sentence.

The Japanese did attack the British Empire. They sank the very battleship on which Churchill made his statement. They completely defeated the British in the Far East in 11 weeks, capturing more than 100,000 British soldiers and officers. It was the largest, most humiliating defeat in Britain's history.

Once, for the fun of it, I went to the microfilm and read the newspapers that were published immediately after the attack on Pearl Harbor. People's ignorance of Japan was appalling. In man-in-the-street interviews, many Americans predicted a short and easy war because they thought the Japanese were all small and had poor eyesight from eating too much rice.

What Japan had, in reality, was a 3,000-year history of being a disciplined and warlike people, the only people in the Far East who defeated European efforts to colonize them. They were also the people who made a miraculous transition from a feudal state to an industrial power in an amazing 40 years.

Ironically, the same arrogance led the Japanese leaders into attacking America and thus, in the reported words of Adm. Isoroku Yamamoto, "awakening and enraging a sleeping giant." Japanese martial spirit was no match for the great, energy-rich industrial power the United States was at that time.

If we look at ourselves honestly, since World War II, we have become a sort of bully. We browbeat and fight minor powers and no-powers while our generals and politicians strut about as if they had conquered the Roman Empire or Napoleon. We were damned lucky the Soviet Union collapsed from its own internal mistakes.

Arrogance and hubris lead to underestimating others and overestimating ourselves. That's very dangerous. We have a beautiful country and a great people, but if we don't get serious about correcting our political and economic problems, we might well follow the British Empire and become a footnote in future history books.

-- October 3, 2005

Katrina Food Aid Blocked by U.S. Rules

As Red Cross shelters swelled with displaced New Orleanians, nearly 400,000 packaged meals landed at Little Rock Air Force Base and were whisked by tractor-trailer to Louisiana.

But most of the $5.3 million worth of food never reached the victims of Hurricane Katrina. Instead, because of fears about mad cow disease and a long-standing ban on British beef, the rations routinely consumed by British soldiers have sat stacked in a warehouse in Arkansas.

Now, with some of the food set to expire in early 2006 and U.S. taxpayers spending $16,000 a month to store the meals, the State Department is looking for a needy country to take them.

No fewer than six federal agencies had a role in accepting, distributing and rejecting the food. Even now, there remains a disagreement within the Bush administration over which office shipped the meals to 14 locations in Louisiana and which is responsible for paying the mounting storage fees.

The Federal Emergency Management Agency "knew from e-mails the stuff was moving out there, but we never had control or said anything about it," said spokesman Kim Pease. "It was under control of" the U.S. Agency for International Development", he said.

But USAID spokesman Kevin Sheridan said his agency simply provided logistic support, helping deliver to the Gulf Coast region foreign donations that were acquired by the State Department.

Inspectors from the Agriculture Department halted the distribution because the packaged meals violated import laws that "no beef or poultry of any kind is accepted from Great Britain," spokeswoman Terri Teuber said.

The food, stacked six feet high and loaded onto pallets, left Brize Norton air base, England. Aboard chartered aircraft, they were flown 4,400 miles to Arkansas at a cost of $4.7 million, according to the British Embassy.

In a conference call USDA officials learned that "food donations may be coming that needed inspection," Teuber said.

As the rations rode 355 more miles to New Orleans, USDA inspectors hit the road - literally chasing the delivery trucks to shelters, the city's downtown convention center and other locations where evacuees were found.

Severe flooding prevented inspectors from reaching four sites, and by the time they arrived at the 10 others, about 115,000 meal packs had been distributed. Some were vegetarian, satisfying the Food and Drug Administration, which has jurisdiction over nonmeat imports, spokeswoman Kimberly Rawlings said.

The others, with meat in them, should not have been handed out, Teuber said.

The inspectors turned the trucks around for the return trip to Arkansas, where the meals remain.

State Department officials have considered sending the food to Guatemala, which was devastated by mudslides. But the impoverished country does not have vehicles to transport the enormous pallets. For cultural reasons, the meals would be inappropriate for Pakistani earthquake victims.

-- Washington *Post*, Oct. 14, 2005

Bilderberg's Plans for the World

By Daniel Estulin

The Bilderberg group's secret annual meeting was held on May 5-8, 2005 at Rottach-Egern (Munich, Germany) under its usual secrecy that makes a freemasonry lodge look like a playgroup. Staff at the hotel were photographed and put through special clearance, and were warned about revealing anything about the guests to the press. A number of high-ranking members of the press who attend the annual meeting are sworn to secrecy. Thus few have ever heard of this exclusive and secretive group of the world's most powerful financiers, industrialists and political figures.

After three straight years of open hostility and tension amongst the European, British and American Bilderbergers, caused by the war in Iraq, the aura of complete congeniality amongst them has returned. Bilderbergers have reaffirmed and remain united in their long-term goal to strengthen the role the United Nations plays in regulating global conflicts and relations.

A much discussed subject at Rottach-Egern was the concept of imposing a UN tax on people worldwide through a direct tax on oil at the well-head. This, in fact, sets a precedent. If imposed, it would be the first time that a non-governmental agency (read the UN) directly benefited from a tax on citizens of free and enslaved nations. The Bilderberg proposal calls for a tiny UN levy at the outset, which the consumer would hardly notice.

Jim Tucker wrote in the *American Free Press* (June 14–21, 2004) that: "...establishing the principle that the UN can directly tax citizens of the world is important to Bilderberg. It is another giant step toward world government. Bilderbergers know that publicly promoting a UN tax on all people on Earth would meet with outrage. But they are patient; it [Bilderberg] first proposed a direct world tax years ago and celebrates the fact that it is now in the public dialogue with little public attention or concern."

Bilderberg [also] wants "tax harmonisation" so that high-tax countries can compete with more tax-friendly nations -- including the United States -- for foreign investment. The UN would "harmonise" taxes by forcing the rate in the US and other countries to rise so that socialist Sweden's 58% level would be "competitive."

According to sources, an unidentified guest at the conference asked how global taxation can be sold to the American public. One Bilderberger mentioned that the best time to ask for cash is once the conflict subsides and the world is subjected to brutal images of destruction. A Norwegian Bilderberger disagreed. What looked to be Bjorn T. Grydeland, Norway's ambassador to the European Union, said that, on the contrary, it's much easier to get world attention and money for a region when a conflict rages.

This was confirmed a posteriori when Denmark's foreign minister Per Stig Moller, during a debate in the UN on 26 May, stated on the record that "[i]f the international community is not able to act swiftly, the fragile peace is at risk, with loss of more lives as a consequence." Denmark holds the EU presidency until July 1, 2005, when it will be replaced by the UK.

Jim Tucker reported in the *American Free Press* (May 23, 2005) that: "There was some informal discussion of timing for a vote in the UN on establishing a direct global tax by imposing a 10-cents-a-barrel levy on oil at the well-head. This is important to the Bilderberg goal of establishing the UN as a formal world government. Such a direct tax on individuals is symbolically important. Bilderberg's global tax proposal has been pending before the UN for three years but the issue has been blacked out by the Bilderberg-controlled US media." (This year's US media invitees included Donald Graham, chairman of the Washington *Post*; Norman Pearlstine, editor-in-chief of *Time*; and John Vinocur, senior correspondent for the *International Herald Tribune*.)

Mark R. Warner, governor of Virginia and a first-time Bilderberg invitee, expressed concern about how much additional financial responsibility the U.S. would take on as a result. At this point, Jose M. Durao Barroso, president of the European Commission, expressed a view held by many within Bilderberg that the U.S. does not provide a fair share of economic aid to poor countries. My sources confirm Jim Tucker's report that "Kissinger and David Rockefeller, among other Americans, beamed and nodded approval."

Although the U.S. pays more into the foreign-aid piggy bank than any country, the Bilderbergers and the UN are poised to demand much more funding from it to meet the Peacebuilding proposal.

In the U.S., the Logan Act states explicitly that it is against the law for federal officials to attend secret meetings with private citizens to develop public policies.

Although Bilderberg 2005 was missing one of its luminaries -- State Department official John Bolton, who was testifying before the Senate Committee on Foreign Relations -- the U.S. Government was represented in Rottach-Egern by: Allan E. Hubbard, Assistant to the President for Economic Policy and director of the National Economic Council; William Luti, Deputy Under-Secretary of Defense; James Wolfensohn, outgoing president of the World Bank; and Paul Wolfowitz, Deputy Secretary of State, an ideologue of the Iraq war and incoming president of the World Bank. By attending the Bilderberg 2005 meeting, these people broke U.S. federal law.

-- Award-winning investigative journalist Daniel Estulin is based in Spain where his book *Bilderberg Exposed* (Planeta, Madrid) climbed to

In the beginning of change the patriot is a scarce man, brave, hated and scorned. When his cause succeeds, however, the timid join him, for then it costs nothing to be a patriot. – Mark Twain

#2 in the Spanish charts.. Estulin admits to an admiration of Venezuela's President Hugo Chavez Frias "and his fight against the Bilderberg-controlled US government."

Combat UAVs

The U.S. Army's Future Combat Systems (FCS) program is progressing toward selecting a Class I Unmanned Aerial Vehicle (UAV), according to Boeing and Science Applications International Corporation (SAIC), the Lead Systems Integrator team for the FCS program.

The Micro Air Vehicle (MAV), developed by Honeywell under a Defense Advanced Research Projects Agency advanced concept contract, recently passed acceptance tests and pre-experimentation flights.

"The Micro Air Vehicle has flown more than 200 successful flights, including flying in a representative urban environment," said Mark Franzblau, director, FCS UAV system development. "We are confident it will eventually transition to FCS as the preferred Class I UAV platform."

The MAV contract provides critical development in two key technology areas: a ducted fan design providing hover and stare capability coupled with a small heavy fuels engine.

Leveraging DARPA's investment in the MAV technology, Boeing issued a system engineering contract in December 2004 to Honeywell to identify what additional development was required to transition the vehicle to an FCS-compliant Class I UAV system.

Under the nearly-three-million-dollar contract announced this week, Honeywell will continue the systems engineering analyses leading to a System Functional Review in March 2006.

Following a successful review, the development and demonstration phase will continue through FY2014 and will include the development and flight test of unmanned, autonomous vehicle prototypes.

The FCS Class I UAV is one of four classes of FCS Unmanned Aerial Vehicle systems that are organic to platoon, company, battalion and brigade echelons and form the airborne component of the FCS network providing protection and information for troops on the ground. Together, they constitute four of the 18 systems, which, together with the network and the soldier, will make up FCS.

The FCS Class I UAV will be used for reconnaissance, security and target acquisition operations in open, rolling, complex and urban terrain.

Each system – comprising two air vehicles, a dismounted control device and associated ground support equipment – will be carried by selected platforms and dismounted soldiers, and will use autonomous flight and navigation with vertical take-off and landing and recovery capability.

-- *Space Daily* October 23, 2005

"National Clandestine Service"

Intelligence officials have established a National Clandestine Service at the CIA, saying the step is necessary because of the dramatic expansion in U.S. human intelligence (humint) collection abroad since Sept. 11, 2001

The NCS, which will be based at the CIA, will carry out that agency's espionage and will coordinate the increasing spying and covert activities conducted worldwide by the Pentagon and FBI, officials said.

"This is another positive step in building an intelligence community that is more unified, coordinated and effective," Director of National Intelligence John D. Negroponte said.

President Bush had ordered increases of 50 percent in the number of CIA case officers and analysts, and there has been similar, if not greater, growth since the late 1990s in Pentagon and FBI human intelligence collection operations, the officials noted.

That growth requires greater coordination of efforts and "has for the first time since 1947 forced us to redraw the lines," said a senior intelligence official, one of two who briefed reporters on the condition they not be identified by name. One official was from Negroponte's Office of the DNI, which oversees all U.S. intelligence agencies; the other was from the CIA.

Yesterday's announcement gives CIA Director Porter J. Goss another title, national humint manager, which refers to information collected from people rather than from technical sources such as electronic intercepts. The director of the National Clandestine Service will report to Goss, but the new agency's work will be overseen by Negroponte's staff.

John D. Rockefeller IV (W.Va.), ranking Democrat on the Senate Select Committee on Intelligence, praised the new setup.

The intelligence committee's Republican majority, however, citing the CIA's failures before Sept. 11, 2001, and the 2003 invasion of Iraq, had wanted the NCS job to be located within the DNI's office, not at the CIA.

The DNI will set priorities for those who collect intelligence and those who analyze it, appointing "mission managers" to make certain the intelligence community is focused on what is important.

The DNI's plan is to bring together collectors and analysts from all intelligence agencies - the CIA, the Pentagon, the FBI, the State Department Intelligence and Research Bureau and others - to contribute to prioritizing. Then it will be up to Goss and the NCS director to coordinate the operations.

The director of the NCS will have two deputies, one to run CIA clandestine operations and the other to coordinate activities of other overseas operators.

Common training, with CIA, FBI and Pentagon officers in the same classes, is already taking place, the officials said.

-- Washington *Post*, Oct.14, 2005

The Rehearsal

Washington - It was billed as a conversation with U.S. troops, but the questions President Bush asked were choreographed to match his goals for the war in Iraq and the vote on a new Iraqi constitution.

"This is an important time," Allison Barber, deputy assistant defense secretary, said, coaching the soldiers before Bush arrived. "The president is looking forward to having just a conversation with you."

Barber said the president was interested in three topics: the overall security situation in Iraq, security preparations for the weekend vote and efforts to train Iraqi troops.

As she spoke in Washington, a live shot of 10 soldiers from the Army's 42nd Infantry Division and one Iraqi soldier was beamed into the Eisenhower Executive Office Building from Tikrit - the birthplace of former Iraqi leader Saddam Hussein.

A brief rehearsal ensued. "OK, so let's just walk through this," Barber said. "Captain Kennedy, you answer the first question and you hand the mike to whom?"

"Captain Smith," Kennedy said.

"Captain Smith. You take the mike and you hand it to whom?" she asked.

"Captain Kennedy," the soldier replied.

And so it went.

Before he took questions, Bush thanked the soldiers for serving and

reassured them that the U.S. would not pull out of Iraq until the mission was complete.

"You've got tremendous support here at home," Bush said.

In an AP-Ipsos poll taken in October just over half of the public now say the Iraq war was a mistake.

The soldiers all gave Bush an upbeat view of the situation.

The president also got praise from the Iraqi soldier who was part of the chat.

"Thank you very much for everything," he gushed. "I like you."

Paul Rieckhoff, director of the New York-based Operation Truth, an advocacy group for U.S. veterans of Iraq and Afghanistan, denounced the event as a "carefully scripted publicity stunt." Five of the 10 U.S. troops involved were officers, he said.

-- AP Oct. 14, 2005

NGOs and the Global Neighbourhood

By Daniel Estulin

The rise of the NGOs (non-governmental organizations) is a development that former US President Clinton suddenly suggested (the day after it was discussed by Bilderbergers at Rottach-Egern) to be among "the most remarkable things that have happened since the fall of the Berlin Wall." Ironically, Clinton's statement was picked up by the *Wall Street Journal*, a paper represented at the Bilderberg meetings by its vice-president, Robert L. Bartley, until his death in December 2003, and its editorial page editor, Paul Gigot.

The Bilderbergers have been vigorously debating, for the first time, whether to have unelected, self-appointed environmental activists given positions of governmental authority on the governing board of the United Nations Environment Program (UNEP) -- the agency which controls the use of the atmosphere, outer space, the oceans and, for all practical purposes, biodiversity. This invitation for "civil society" to participate in global governance is described as "expanding democracy."

According to sources within Bilderberg, the status of NGOs would be elevated even further in the future. NGO activity would include agitating at the local level, lobbying at the national level and producing studies to justify global taxation through UN organizations such as Global Plan, one of Bilderberg's pet projects for over a decade.

The strategy to advance the global governance agenda specifically includes programs to discredit individuals and organizations that generate "internal political pressure" or "populist action" that fails to support the new global ethic.

The ultimate objective, according to sources, is to suppress democracy.

If the plan proceeds, UNEP, along with all the environmental treaties under its jurisdiction, would ultimately be governed by a special body of environmental activists, chosen only from UN-accredited NGOs appointed by delegates to the General Assembly who are themselves appointed by the President of the United States, who himself is controlled by the Rockefeller-Council on Foreign Relations (CFR)-Bilderberg interlocking leadership.

This new mechanism would provide a direct route from the local, "on-the-ground," NGO affiliates of national and international NGOs to the highest levels of global governance. For example, the Greater Yellowstone Coalition, a group of affiliated NGOs, successfully petitioned the World Heritage Committee of UNESCO asking for intervention in the plans of a private company to mine gold on private land near Yellowstone Park. The UNESCO committee did intervene, and immediately listed Yellowstone as a "World Heritage Site in Danger." [and thereby stopped the gold mining project].

Under the terms of the World Heritage Convention, the United States is required to protect the park, even beyond the borders of the park and onto private lands if necessary.

The ideas being discussed, if implemented, would bring all the people of the world into a global neighborhood, managed by a worldwide bureaucracy under the direct authority of a minute handful of UN appointed individuals and policed by thousands of individuals, paid by accredited NGOs, and all certified to support a belief system that to many people is unbelievable and unacceptable.

It's New, It's Fun -- It's *Bill of Rights or Bust!*

Some people think:

* The Bill of Rights is a retirement plan
* The Fifth Amendment is a movie title
* Double Jeopardy is a quiz show
* The Tenth Amendment is a New York City bar
* Rights are the opposite of Wrongs
* The "free exercise" clause applies only to football players

Do you agree?

Invest just a few minutes to learn about America's amazing charter of human freedom: The Bill of Rights.

This made-for-TV production brings the Bill of Rights to life with live interviews, patriotic and meaningful visuals, and accurate descriptions of every right. The DVD contains special features, including penetrating questions to stimulate lively discussion, and a spotlight on government agencies that may be violating the Bill of Rights every day.

Watch ***Bill of Rights or Bust!*** and help bring America back to America!

Bill of Rights or Bust is available RIGHT NOW with special introductory pricing: just $19.95 for DVD, or $21.95 for VHS! Bulk discounts are also available.

-- Jews for the Preservation of Firearms Ownership, PO Box 270143, Hartford, Wisconsin 53027
Phone: 1-262-673-9745
Order line: 1-800-869-1884 (toll-free!)

"Thinking about a Florida vacation?"

Brady Campaign press release, Sept. 27 -- The Brady Campaign to Prevent Gun Violence will begin educating Florida tourists that effective Oct. 1 they face a greater risk of bodily harm within the state of Florida.

That is the date that the "Shoot First" law also known as the "Stand Your Ground" law, goes into effect.

Individuals who are unfamiliar with Florida's roads, traffic regulations and customs, or who speak foreign languages, or look different than Florida residents, may face a higher risk of danger -- because they may be more likely to be perceived as threatening by Floridians, and because they are unaware of Florida's new law that says individual who feel their safety is threatened or their possessions are at risk are legally authorized to use deadly force.

"We think people visiting Florida should be aware of this law, and act accordingly," said Sarah Brady, chair of the Brady Campaign.

The Brady Campaign is placing advertisements in U.S. gateway cities feeding tourists to Florida, starting with Chicago, Detroit and Boston, and in selected overseas markets beginning with the United Kingdom, Oct. 2.

The ad reads: "Thinking about a Florida vacation? Please ensure your family is safe. A new law in the Sunshine State authorizes nervous or frightened residents to use deadly force. In Florida, avoid disputes. Use special caution in arguing with motorists on Florida roads. Police and prosecutors are concerned about the potential for unnecessary violence."

-- Brady Campaign press release

Ed.: Note to crazed Chicagoans, demented Detroiters, besotted Bostonians and soccer-mad Sassenachs -- have your disputes and arguments BEFORE arriving in Florida. Remember, an armed society is a polite society!

Michael New wins a round

The US Court of Appeals has denied the government's motion that would have ended a soldier's legal battle to overturn his court-martial, and to defend his right and duty to disobey an illegal order.

Army Specialist Michael New was court-martialed in 1996 for his refusal to wear a United Nations uniform, to deploy to Macedonia under UN command, and to serve under a general officer from Finland.

In a radical departure from historical legal doctrine and military practice, the Army Court of Criminal Appeals ruled that evidence of illegality is not a fundamental element of the offense to be decided beyond a reasonable doubt by the military jury, but is instead an issue for the prosecution, to be resolved at the discretion of the military judge.

Upon appeal to the Court of Appeals for the Armed Forces in 2001, the court-martial was once more sustained. The Supreme Court declined to hear an appeal. Having "exhausted the military remedy," New took his case to the US District Court, challenging the court-martial conviction.

At issue is the question of whether an American soldier, having taken an oath to support and defend the Constitution of the United States, may be forced instead to serve under the military command of a foreign power, specifically the United Nations.

The military courts ruled that this was a political question, outside the jurisdiction of their courts. In a surprising ruling, US District Court Judge Friedman conceded that Pres. Clinton may have broken the law [by ordering US soldiers to serve under a UN general], but that it is the duty of Congress to challenge the president, not a lowly soldier. This decision continues to astonish attorneys and Constitutional authorities. As one attorney recently said, "I have always been under the impression that the citizen possesses every right under the Constitution that exists. Perhaps that is no longer true."

"It is now going on ten years, since this perversion of the Constitution began in Mike's case," says his father, Daniel New, Project Manager of the Legal Defense. "He was a nobody to the government, which lives by the argument that, 'You must obey orders, no matter what.' Even though we hung German officers who used that as a defense following World War II, it appears now that we have come full circle."

According to Lead Attorney Herbert W. Titus, "We have here a case with serious implications for every American who ever wears a uniform. Michael New's stand is for them, and their right to defend their country exclusively, in accordance with the American soldiers Oath of Office."

And what does Michael New think of all this? "Right is right, and wrong is wrong. They can argue until the End of Time, but I will never serve the United Nations." New is pursuing a degree in Information Management Systems in Texas.

Oral arguments on the appeal could be as soon as December, but will probably occur in early 2006.

-- www.MikeNew.com

From the Mail Bag

To the NJ Militia,

I'm interested in joining you guys, is this possible? Would there be a logistics problem since I live in Mahwah, NJ? I know there's a gun range just a few minutes by my house.

If new members aren't being accepted, I have professional experience with online networking, website management, etc. Is there anything I could do to help?

If I don't get a reply I'll just gather some of my friends, buy njmilitia.org from you, and start my own militia.

[Unsigned]

* * *

New Jersey Militia

Dear Sir/Madam,

The Wisconsin Historical Society would be grateful to have a copy of the following material:

Sample of newsletter, list of publications, flyers, etc.

If there will be a charge for this material, please indicate the charge below.

Thank you,

Acquisitions Section/ Newspapers and Periodicals
Wisconsin Historical Society Library

* * *

Dera Friends :

My name is Noel Diaz - Busquets , i am a member of Puerto Rico State Guard . I interested ti join in the New Jersey Militia to defense the state for all purpose .

I living in Puerto Rico , San Juan , the Capital Island . my rank is Sgt (E5) . Please send me more imformation in internet

Thanks fot your cooperation

-- by email, as sent

* * *

"But I venture the challenging statement that if American democracy ceases to move forward as a living force, seeking day and night by peaceful means to better the lot of our citizens, then Fascism and Communism, aided, unconsciously perhaps, by old-line Tory Republicanism, will grow in strength in our land." -Franklin D. Roosevelt, November 4, 1938

--From *Harper's* magazine, Oct. 2005

NJM, P.O. Box 10176, Trenton New Jersey 08650
ISSN 1523-4657
www.njmilitia.org
info@njmilitia.org
walnor@keepandbeararms.com
Middlesex County, Art (732) 607-0833
Morris County, Bill (973) 361-3241
Johnson County, TX, Earl (817) 866-3288

Newsletter Subscription - Donation $10.00
Cash or Blank Money Order Only

Name _____

Address _____

City _____State____Zip _____